

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,130	08/29/2001	Robert McClure	DGI-105-A	6581
7:	590 06/10/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Philip R. Warn			HUYNH, KIM T	
WARN, BURGESS & HOFFMANN, P.C. P.O. BOX 70098		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHESTER	HILLS, MI 48307	•	2112	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		09/942,130	MCCLURE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
		Kim T. Huynh	2112				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address				
FHE - Extended - If th - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rest (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	de timely filed I days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	iaust 2001					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposit	ion of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[🛛	Claim(s) 1, 4-9 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	ion Papers	•					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
			ed to by the Everniner				
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	_	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		100 / 10110 / 10111 / 10 102.				
	•	oriority under 25 LLC O C 440	(-) (-) (0				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡ ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ononly under 35 U.S.C. § 118	(a)-(d) or (f).				
u)i	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		eation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
	application from the International Bureau		ived in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ived				
National Processing	4.						
Attachment D Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ. Σ ΖΙ	(DTO 4 (0)				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔯 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)					
COL-326 (R		on Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20040000				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claim 1, drawn to upgrading software/firmware, classified in class 717, subclass 100.
 - II. Claims 2-3, drawn to bus interface, classified in class 710, subclass 305.
 - III. Claims 4-9, drawn to protocol, classified in class 710, subclass 104.
- 2. Inventions I, II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as in systems that do not require bus interface nor implementation of protocol. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II nor Group III and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Phillip Warn on June 2, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, applicant elected invention II (claims 2-3) without traversed.

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/942,130 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferran et al. (US Patent 4,720,807)

As per claim 2, Ferran discloses an improved protocol adapter having circuitry and programs for in-vehicle networks, the improvement comprising means for indicating activity on a RS232 bus between the adapter and a PC. (col.18, lines 13-24)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Application/Control Number: 09/942,130 Page 4

Art Unit: 2112

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Virdee et al. (US Patent 6,320,876)

Ferran discloses all the limitations as above except LED to visually indicative activity on a RS232 bus between the adapter and a PC. However, Virdee discloses timer monitor the operations on controller circuits and controller circuits provide some visual alarm indicators, such as LEDs and RS232 connections to local and remote terminals. (col.4, lines 41-62)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Virdee's teaching into Ferran's system so as to have the capability to transport a higher concentration of voice and data channels advantageously decreases the number of physical links and further lower the toll exacted for connecting the calls. (col.1,lnes 39-45)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

June 3, 2004

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

